1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON	
9	AT TACOMA	
10	JACOB ANDREW JOHNSTON,	
11	Plaintiff,	CASE NO. 3:22-cv-05394-RAJ-JRC
12	V.	ORDER DENYING MOTIONS TO COMPEL DISCOVERY
13	CRYSTAL JENNINGS, et al.,	
14	Defendants.	
15		I
16	This matter is before the Court on referral from the District Court and on plaintiff's	
17	motions to compel discovery. Dkts. 34, 38. Plaintiff's motions to compel are denied because	
18	plaintiff has not served defendants with any discovery requests prior to filing his motions and the	
19	motions do not include a certificate that plaintiff has in good faith conferred or attempted to	
20	confer with counsel for defendants. See Dkts. 34, 38.	
21	While a party may apply to the Court for an order compelling discovery, Federal Rule of	
22	Civil Procedure 37 and Local Civil Rule 37(a)(1) require the movant to first meet and confer	
23	with the party failing to make disclosure or discovery in an effort to resolve the dispute without	
24		

1 court action. In addition, when filing a motion to compel, the movant must include a 2 certification, in the motion or in a declaration or affidavit, that the movant has in good faith conferred or attempted to confer with the party failing to make disclosure or discovery in an 3 effort to resolve the dispute without court action. See LCR 37(a)(1). The certification must list 4 5 the date, manner, and participants to the conference. *Id.* If the movant fails to include such a 6 certification, the Court may deny the motion without addressing the merits of the dispute. *Id.* 7 Here, plaintiff requests that the Court order defendants to respond to his discovery 8 requests. Dkts. 34, 38. In response to plaintiff's motions, counsel for defendants note that the 9 parties are currently in a period of discovery and, thus, plaintiff is free to submit discovery 10 requests to defendants in the ordinary course of litigation. Dkt. 37 at 1; Dkt. 42 at 1 (citing Fed. 11 R. Civ. P. 26–37). Further, counsel for defendants assert that plaintiff has not made any efforts to 12 contact defendants regarding his discovery requests and that the parties have not met and conferred. Dkt. 37 at 2; Dkt. 42 at 2. Plaintiff has failed to meet his requirements under the local 13 court rule by not conferring with defendants' counsel by telephone or providing a certification of 14 15 compliance with this rule. Therefore, the Court denies plaintiff's motions to compel (Dkts. 34, 38). 16 Dated this 10th day of April, 2023. 17 18 19 20 J. Richard Creatura United States Magistrate Judge 21 22 23 24